

# Free Software *and Open Standards*

Nick Urbanik <`nicku(at)nicku.org`>

Copyright Conditions: GNU FDL (see <http://www.gnu.org/licenses/fdl.html>)

# Free Software

## What is it?

## GPL, license, “viral effects”

## How to get help

### Free Software

What is Free Software?

GPL: the Four Freedoms

GPL is Viral?

GPL and Proprietary

Other Free Software Licences

Mailing Lists: help from experts

How to ask Questions on a List

### Open Standards

---

### Practice

---

Government and Open

Standards

---

### Internet Standards

---

# What is Free Software?

- Defined by Richard Stallman
  - ◆ Author of GPL (General Public License)
  - ◆ Author of Emacs editor, GNU compiler suite
  - ◆ Founder of the Free Software Foundation
- Sometimes viewed (*incorrectly*) as the same thing as Open Source.
- Sometimes viewed (*Very incorrectly!*) as the same thing as Freeware or shareware!!
- The GPL defines four freedoms.

Free Software

What is Free Software?

GPL: the Four Freedoms

GPL is Viral?

GPL and Proprietary

Other Free Software Licences

Mailing Lists: help from experts

How to ask Questions on a List

Open Standards

Practice

Government and Open

Standards

Internet Standards

# GPL: the Four Freedoms

- The freedom to run the program, for any purpose (**freedom 0**).
- The freedom to study how the program works, and adapt it to your needs (**freedom 1**). Access to the source code is a precondition for this.
- The freedom to redistribute copies so you can help your neighbor (**freedom 2**).
- The freedom to improve the program, and release your improvements to the public, so that the whole community benefits. (**freedom 3**). Access to the source code is a precondition for this.

---

Free Software

What is Free Software?

**GPL: the Four Freedoms**

GPL is Viral?

GPL and Proprietary

Other Free Software Licences

Mailing Lists: help from experts

How to ask Questions on a List

---

Open Standards

---

Practice

Government and Open

Standards

---

Internet Standards

# Why does Microsoft call the GPL "Viral"?

- Microsoft hate the GPL with passion
- Have called the license “viral”, even in their legal documents!
- Why do they do this?
- They say that if anyone uses some GPL code in their product, then they are required to distribute all the source code to their product

[Free Software](#)

[What is Free Software?](#)

[GPL: the Four Freedoms](#)

[GPL is Viral?](#)

[GPL and Proprietary](#)

[Other Free Software Licences](#)

[Mailing Lists: help from experts](#)

[How to ask Questions on a List](#)

[Open Standards](#)

[Practice](#)

[Government and Open Standards](#)

[Internet Standards](#)

# GPL and Proprietary Licenses

- However, if you
  - ◆ view source code Microsoft released under their “shared source” scheme
  - ◆ write some software that is related in some way
- Will the Microsoft Legal Department treat you nicely?
- I would not dare view Microsoft source code.

[Free Software](#)

[What is Free Software?](#)

[GPL: the Four Freedoms](#)

[GPL is Viral?](#)

[GPL and Proprietary](#)

[Other Free Software Licences](#)

[Mailing Lists: help from experts](#)

[How to ask Questions on a List](#)

[Open Standards](#)

[Practice](#)

[Government and Open Standards](#)

[Internet Standards](#)

# Other Free Software Licences

- These include the BSD license
- Allows any company to include the code, modify it, keep it all secret.
- People may be less motivated to contribute if their work goes to Microsoft.
- Microsoft like this license.

[Free Software](#)

[What is Free Software?](#)

[GPL: the Four Freedoms](#)

[GPL is Viral?](#)

[GPL and Proprietary](#)

[Other Free Software Licences](#)

[Mailing Lists: help from experts](#)

[How to ask Questions on a List](#)

[Open Standards](#)

[Practice](#)

[Government and Open Standards](#)

[Internet Standards](#)

# Mailing Lists: help from experts

- There are many mailing lists and newsgroups for almost every topic of systems administration
- When subscribe to mailing list, receive all mail from list
- When send mail to list, all subscribers receive
- Example lists:
  - ◆ Linux Kernel Mailing List (LKML)
  - ◆ Red Hat mailing list
  - ◆ SSH, DNS, DHCP, Language specific mailing lists, . . .

---

## Free Software

What is Free Software?

GPL: the Four Freedoms

GPL is Viral?

GPL and Proprietary

Other Free Software Licences

**Mailing Lists: help from experts**

How to ask Questions on a List

---

## Open Standards

---

### Practice

Government and Open Standards

---

### Internet Standards



# How to ask Questions on a List

- I receive many email questions from students about many topics
- **Most questions are not clear enough** to be able to answer in any way except, “please tell me more about your problem”
- Such questions sent to mailing lists are often unanswered
- Need to be *concise*, *accurate*, and *clear*
  - ◆ Good practice with your English!
- Search the FAQs first
  - ◆ Your question may be answered in the documentation
- Read How To Ask Questions The Smart Way <http://catb.org/~esr/faqs/smart-questions.html>

---

Free Software

What is Free Software?

GPL: the Four Freedoms

GPL is Viral?

GPL and Proprietary

Other Free Software Licences

Mailing Lists: help from experts

How to ask Questions on a List

---

Open Standards

---

Practice

Government and Open

Standards

---

Internet Standards

# Open Standards

## The key to Systems Integration

[Free Software](#)

**[Open Standards](#)**

[What is Open?](#)

[What is Open 2?](#)

[Practice](#)

[Government and Open  
Standards](#)

[Internet Standards](#)

# What is an Open Standard?

- Bruce Perens, who said he's first to use the term 'Open Source', the former Debian project leader, and the co-founder of the Open Source initiative, defines *Open Standards* in

<http://perens.com/OpenStandards/Definition.html>

**Availability:** Open Standards are *available for all* to *read* and *implement*.

**Maximize End-User Choice** Open Standards create a *fair, competitive market* for implementations of the standard. They *do not lock the customer in* to a particular vendor or group.

**No Royalty** Open Standards are free for all to *implement*, with *no royalty or fee*. *Certification* of compliance by the standards organization may involve a fee.

Free Software

Open Standards

What is Open?

What is Open 2?

Practice

Government and Open Standards

Internet Standards

# What is an Open Standard? — 2

**No Discrimination** Open Standards and the organizations that administer them *do not favor one implementor over another* for any reason other than the *technical standards compliance* of a vendor's implementation. Certification organizations must provide a path for low and zero-cost implementations to be validated, but may also provide enhanced certification services.

**Extension or Subset** Implementations of Open Standards may be extended, or offered in subset form. However, *certification organizations* may decline to *certify subset implementations*, and may *place requirements* upon *extensions* (see *Predatory Practices*).

**Predatory Practices** Open Standards may *employ license terms* that *protect against subversion* of the standard by *embrace-and-extend* tactics. The licenses attached to the standard may *require the publication of reference information for extensions*, and a license for all others to create, distribute, and sell software that is *compatible with the extensions*. An Open Standard may not otherwise prohibit extensions.

---

Free Software

---

Open Standards

---

What is Open?

---

What is Open 2?

---

Practice

---

Government and Open Standards

---

Internet Standards

# Practice

from

<http://perens.com/OpenStandards/Definition.html>

---

Free Software

---

Open Standards

**Practice**

Availability

Choice, No Royalty

No Discrimination

Extension, Predatory Practice

Open Standards: Summary

---

Government and Open  
Standards

---

Internet Standards

**Availability** Open Standards are available for all to read and implement. Thus:

1. The *best practice* is for the standards text and reference implementation to be available for *free download* via the Internet.
2. Any software project should be able to afford a copy without undue hardship. The cost should *not* far *exceed* the *cost of a college textbook*.
3. *Licenses* attached to the standards documentation must *not restrict* any party from *implementing* the standard *using any form of software license*.
4. The best practice is for software reference platforms to be licensed in a way that is *compatible with all forms of software* licensing, both Free Software (Open Source) and proprietary. However, see Predatory Practices regarding license restrictions that may be appropriate for a software reference platform.

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Availability

Choice, No Royalty

No Discrimination

Extension, Predatory Practice

Open Standards: Summary

Government and Open  
Standards

Internet Standards

# Maximize Choice, No Royalty

**Maximize End-User Choice** Open Standards create a fair, competitive market for implementations of the standard. Thus:

1. They must *allow a wide range of implementations*, by businesses, academia, and public projects.
2. They must support a range of pricing from very expensive to zero-price.

**No Royalty** Open Standards are *free for all to implement*, with *no royalty or fee*. Certification of compliance by the standards organization may have a fee. Thus:

1. *Patents* embedded in standards *must be licensed royalty-free*, with non-discriminatory terms.
2. Certification programs should include a *low or zero cost self-certification*, but may include higher-cost programs with enhanced branding.

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Availability

Choice, No Royalty

No Discrimination

Extension, Predatory Practice

Open Standards: Summary

Government and Open Standards

Internet Standards

# No Discrimination

**No Discrimination** Open Standards and the organizations that administer them **do not favor one implementor over another** for any reason other than the **technical standards compliance of a vendor's implementation**. Certification organizations must provide a path for low and zero-cost implementations to be validated, but may also provide enhanced certification services. Thus:

1. A standards organization that wishes to support itself through certification branding should establish a premium track and a low-cost or zero-cost track. Generally, the premium track will provide a certification lab outside of the vendor's facility to verify a vendor's implementation and enhanced branding: a certification mark that indicates a greater certainty of verification and financial support of the standard. The low or zero-cost track would provide self-certification by the vendor and baseline branding.

[Free Software](#)

[Open Standards](#)

[Practice](#)

[Availability](#)

[Choice, No Royalty](#)

[No Discrimination](#)

[Extension, Predatory Practice](#)

[Open Standards: Summary](#)

[Government and Open Standards](#)

[Internet Standards](#)



# Extension, Predatory Practice

**Extension or Subset** Implementations of Open Standards may be extended, or offered in subset form. However, **certification organizations may decline to certify subset implementations**, and may place requirements upon extensions (see Predatory Practices).

**Predatory Practices** Open Standards may employ *license* terms that *protect against subversion* of the standard by *embrace-and-extend tactics*. The license may require the publication of reference information and an license to create and redistribute software compatible with the extensions. It may not prohibit the implementation of extensions.

1. The standards organization may wish to apply an agreement similar to the Sun Industry Standards Source License to the standard documentation and its accompanying reference implementation. The Sun agreement requires publication of a reference implementation (not the actual commercial implementation) for any extensions to the standard. This makes it possible for a standards organization to actively preserve interoperability without stifling innovation.

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Availability

Choice, No Royalty

No Discrimination

Extension, Predatory Practice

Open Standards: Summary

Government and Open

Standards

Internet Standards

# Open Standards: Summary

*An open standard* should:

- Offer certification by a standards organisation
- Be available to all to read and implement
- Create a fair, competitive marketplace to maximize end-user choice
- Require no royalty or fee to implement
- Not discriminate for one implementation over another
- Only be extended or subsetted with the approval of a certification authority
- Employ licensing terms to protect against subversion, such as ‘embrace and extend’.

Free Software

---

Open Standards

---

Practice

---

Availability

Choice, No Royalty

No Discrimination

Extension, Predatory Practice

Open Standards: Summary

Government and Open  
Standards

---

Internet Standards

---

# Government and Open Standards

[Free Software](#)

[Open Standards](#)

[Practice](#)

**Government and Open Standards**

Government: Choosing Standards

Proprietary Consequences

[Internet Standards](#)

# Government: Choosing Standards

- We pay the government tax money
- Some of it pays for archiving documents for *future generations*
- What *formats* and *standards* should the government use?
- Proprietary formats may be *very costly to reverse engineer* decades after the company producing the technology has *disappeared*
  - ◆ Even Microsoft may not last forever
- Proprietary formats may have *legal restrictions* that prohibit reverse engineering because of *patents*
- Proprietary formats may also be protected by some *encryption scheme* that may be *costly to decrypt* further into the future when the format of the data is not documented.
- See the discussion in  
The Rise of Proprietary Formats: The New Battleground:  
<http://community.asiaosc.org/~iwsmith/presentations/2003%2008%2026%20FOSSCON%20Imran%20full%20paper.pdf>

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Government and Open  
Standards

Government: Choosing  
Standards

Proprietary Consequences

Internet Standards

# Choosing Proprietary “Standards”

- A proprietary software vendor may choose to **use software patents** to *restrict interoperation*
- May use these to **make reverse engineering illegal**, even if that is quite trivial
- See this interesting article about Microsoft lodging large numbers of patents for technology for Longhorn:  
<http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,1759,1579765,00.asp>
  - ◆ The author believes that Microsoft’s aim to to make interoperation illegal, and lock out Linux and the free competition
  - ◆ An attempt to monopolise “standards”
  - ◆ He could have a point.

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Government and Open  
Standards

Government: Choosing  
Standards

Proprietary Consequences

Internet Standards

# Standards for the Internet

## Those to which any can contribute

[Free Software](#)

[Open Standards](#)

[Practice](#)

[Government and Open Standards](#)

**[Internet Standards](#)**

[Standards for the Internet](#)

[IETF standards: the RFCs](#)

[WWW Consortium](#)

[W3 Standards](#)

[Conclusion](#)

# Standards for the Internet

- There are two main bodies concerned with Internet standards:
- The Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
- The World Wide Web Consortium (<http://www.w3.org>)

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Government and Open  
Standards

Internet Standards

**Standards for the Internet**

IETF standards: the RFCs

WWW Consortium

W3 Standards

Conclusion

# IETF standards: the RFCs

- There are about 4000 documents called Requests for Comments (RFCs)
- A few of these are official standards
- Can download from many web and ftp sites; see <http://www.rfc-editor.org/>
- Available on ictlab—always up to date: see `/home/nfs/rfc` on your computer in our campus

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Government and Open Standards

Internet Standards

Standards for the Internet

**IETF standards: the RFCs**

WWW Consortium

W3 Standards

Conclusion



# WWW Consortium

- Publishes standards documents on their web site  
`http://www.w3.org`
- Covers standards such as http, SOAP, XML

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Government and Open  
Standards

Internet Standards

Standards for the Internet

IETF standards: the RFCs

**WWW Consortium**

W3 Standards

Conclusion

# W3 Standards

- Any person can download the standard freely
- Any person may contribute to the standards
- IETF standards based on "rough consensus and running code"
- w3 also allows open participation in developing standards

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Government and Open Standards

Internet Standards

Standards for the Internet

IETF standards: the RFCs

WWW Consortium

**W3 Standards**

Conclusion

# Conclusion

- Open standards allow others with the talent to write software to meet the standard
- Some proprietary protocols and proprietary protocols can be expensive to reverse engineer in the future after the company that introduced them is out of business.
- Open standards allow systems to work together
- Allows system integration.

Free Software

Open Standards

Practice

Government and Open Standards

Internet Standards

Standards for the Internet

IETF standards: the RFCs

WWW Consortium

W3 Standards

Conclusion